

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,290	12/12/2003	Warren Keith Edwards	D/A3420	4296	
	7590 01/15/201 NTELLECTUAL PROI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 UNION ST	00 UNION STREET			YAARY, MICHAEL D	
SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, WA	A 98101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OLATICE, W	1 30101	2193	2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,290	EDWARDS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL YAARY	2193		

	MICHAEL YAARY	2193						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the contract of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ideing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	noliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10.13-23 and 26-28</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Applicant's arguments filed 12/24/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Schmidt Chase combination does not disclose to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system, as in claim 1 and similarly in claims 14 and 28. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The combination of Schmidt and Chase teach to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system. More specifically, Schmidt (as cited in the rejection) discloses determining availability for network service software. In this case, if no appropriate JRE is found on the client system an appropriate one is found and installed. Furthermore, the limitations do not further recite more details of the checking mechanism; thus, the combination further discloses to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL YAARY whose telephone number is (571)270-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information fertiveal (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from the PalR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications are viewed to the PalR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://jain-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (NU SAO RC ANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2193